2016 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update on New Pharmacological Therapy for Heart Failure: An Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure

A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America

WRITING COMMITTEE MEMBERS* Clyde W. Yancy, MD, MSc, MACC, FAHA, FHFSA, Chair Mariell Jessup, MD, FACC, FAHA, FESC, Vice Chair Biykem Bozkurt, MD, PhD, FACC, FAHA† Javed Butler, MD, MBA, MPH, FACC, FAHA‡ Donald E. Casey, Jr, MD, MPH, MBA, FACC§ Monica M. Colvin, MD, FAHA Mark H. Drazner, MD, MSc, FACC, FAHA‡ Gerasimos Filippatos, MD, FESC Gregg C. Fonarow, MD, FACC, FAHA, FHFSA‡ Michael M. Givertz, MD, FACC, FHFSA¶ Steven M. Hollenberg, MD, FACC# JoAnn Lindenfeld, MD, FACC, FAHA, FHFSA¶ Frederick A. Masoudi, MD, MSPH, FACC** Patrick E. McBride, MD, MPH, FACC†† Pamela N. Peterson, MD, FACC‡ Lynne Warner Stevenson, MD, FACC‡ Cheryl Westlake, PhD, RN, ACNS-BC, FHFSA¶

Developed in Collaboration With the American College of Chest Physicians and International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation

ACC/AHA Task Force Members, see page e287

*Writing committee members are required to recuse themselves from voting on sections to which their specific relationships with industry may apply; see Appendix 1 for detailed information. †ACC/AHA Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines Liaison. ‡ACC/AHA Representative. §ACP Representative. IISHLT Representative. ¶HFSA Representative. #CHEST Representative. **ACC/AHA Task Force on Performance Measures Representative. ††AAFP Representative.

The American Heart Association requests that this document be cited as follows: Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE Jr, Colvin MM, Drazner MH, Filippatos G, Fonarow GC, Givertz MM, Hollenberg SM, Lindenfeld J, Masoudi FA, McBride PE, Peterson PN, Stevenson LW, Westlake C. 2016 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update on new pharmacological therapy for heart failure: an update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. *Circulation*. 2016;134:e282–e293. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.0000000000000435.

Key Words: AHA Scientific
Statements ■ angioedema
■ angiotensin-converting enzyme
inhibitors ■ angiotensin receptor
blockers ■ angiotensin receptorneprilysin inhibitor ■ beta blockers

■ focused update ■ heart failure

■ ivabradine ■ natriuretic peptides

© 2016 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation, the American Heart Association, Inc., and the Heart Failure Society of America.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preamble
Introductione285
7.3. Stage C
7.3.2. Pharmacological Treatment for Stage C HF With
Reduced Ejection Fraction: Recommendations e285
7.3.2.10. Renin-Angiotensin System Inhibition
With Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme
Inhibitor or Angiotensin Receptor
Blocker or ARNI: Recommendationse285
7.3.2.11. Ivabradine: Recommendation e287
References
Appendix 1. Author Relationships With Industry
and Other Entities (Relevant) e289
Appendix 2. Reviewer Relationships With Industry
and Other Entities (Comprehensive) e291

PREAMBLE

Incorporation of new study results, medications, or devices that merit modification of existing clinical practice guideline recommendations, or the addition of new recommendations, is critical to ensuring that guidelines reflect current knowledge, available treatment options, and optimum medical care. To keep pace with evolving evidence, the American College of Cardiology (ACC)/American Heart Association (AHA) Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines ("Task Force") has issued this focused update to reassess guideline recommendations on the basis of recently published study data. This update has been subject to rigorous, multilevel review and approval, similar to the full guidelines. For specific focused update criteria and additional methodological details, please see the ACC/AHA guideline methodology manual.1

Modernization

Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on June 27, 2020

Processes have evolved over time in response to published reports from the Institute of Medicine^{2,3} and ACC/ AHA mandates,⁴⁻⁷ leading to adoption of a "knowledge byte" format. This process entails delineation of a recommendation addressing a specific clinical question, followed by concise text (ideally <250 words) and hyperlinked to supportive evidence. This approach better accommodates time constraints on busy clinicians, facilitates easier access to recommendations via electronic search engines and other evolving technology, and supports the evolution of guidelines as "living documents" that can be dynamically updated as needed.

Guideline-Directed Evaluation and Management

The term guideline-directed evaluation and management (GDEM) refers to care defined mainly by ACC/AHA Class I recommendations. For these and all recommended drug treatment regimens, the reader should confirm dosage with product insert material and carefully evaluate for contraindications and interactions. Recommendations are limited to treatments, drugs, and devices approved for clinical use in the United States.

Class of Recommendation and Level of Evidence

The Class of Recommendation (COR) and Level of Evidence (LOE) are derived independently of each other according to established criteria. The COR indicates the strength of recommendation, encompassing the estimated magnitude and certainty of benefit of a clinical action in proportion to risk. The LOE rates the quality of scientific evidence supporting the intervention on the basis of the type, quantity, and consistency of data from clinical trials and other sources (Table 1). Recommendations in this focused update reflect the new 2015 COR/ LOE system, in which LOE B and C are subcategorized for the purpose of increased granularity. 1,5,8

Relationships With Industry and Other Entities

The ACC and AHA exclusively sponsor the work of guideline writing committees without commercial support, and members volunteer time for this activity. Selected organizations and professional societies with related interests and expertise are invited to participate as partners or collaborators. The Task Force makes every effort to avoid actual, potential, or perceived conflicts of interest that might arise through relationships with industry or other entities (RWI). All writing committee members and reviewers are required to fully disclose current industry relationships or personal interests, beginning 12 months before initiation of the writing effort. Management of RWI involves selecting a balanced writing committee and requires that both the chair and a majority of writing committee members have no relevant RWI (see Appendix 1 for the definition of relevance). Members are restricted with regard to writing or voting on sections to which RWI apply. Members of the writing committee who recused themselves from voting are indicated and specific section recusals are noted in Appendix 1. In addition, for transparency, members' comprehensive disclosure information is available as an Online Supplement, and reviewers' RWI disclosures are included in Appendix 2. Comprehensive disclosure information for the Task Force is also available at http://www.acc.org/guidelines/about-guidelinesand-clinical-documents/guidelines-and-documents-taskforces. The Task Force strives to avoid bias by selecting experts from a broad array of backgrounds representing different geographic regions, genders, ethnicities, intellectual perspectives, and scopes of clinical activities.

Intended Use

Guidelines provide recommendations applicable to patients with or at risk of developing cardiovascular disease. The focus is on medical practice in the United States, but guidelines developed in collaboration with other organizations may have a broader target. Although guidelines may

Table 1. Applying Class of Recommendation and Level of Evidence to Clinical Strategies, Interventions, Treatments, or Diagnostic Testing in Patient Care* (Updated August 2015)

CLASS (STRENGTH) OF RECOMMENDATION CLASS I (STRONG) **Benefit >>> Risk** Suggested phrases for writing recommendations: ■ Is recommended Is indicated/useful/effective/beneficial Should be performed/administered/other Comparative-Effectiveness Phrases†: o Treatment/strategy A is recommended/indicated in preference to treatment B o Treatment A should be chosen over treatment B Suggested phrases for writing recommendations: Is reasonable Can be useful/effective/beneficial Comparative-Effectiveness Phrases†: Treatment/strategy A is probably recommended/indicated in preference to treatment B It is reasonable to choose treatment A over treatment B CLASS IIb (WEAK) Benefit ≥ Risk Suggested phrases for writing recommendations: May/might be reasonable May/might be considered Usefulness/effectiveness is unknown/unclear/uncertain or not well established **CLASS III: No Benefit (MODERATE)** Benefit = Risk Suggested phrases for writing recommendations: Is not recommended Is not indicated/useful/effective/beneficial Should not be performed/administered/other CLASS III: Harm (STRONG) Risk > Benefit Suggested phrases for writing recommendations: Potentially harmful Causes harm Associated with excess morbidity/mortality Should not be performed/administered/other

LEVEL (QUALITY) OF EVIDENCE‡

LEVEL A

- High-quality evidence‡ from more than 1 RCT
- Meta-analyses of high-quality RCTs
- One or more RCTs corroborated by high-quality registry studies

LEVEL B-R

(Randomized)

- Moderate-quality evidence‡ from 1 or more RCTs
- Meta-analyses of moderate-quality RCTs

LEVEL B-NR

(Nonrandomized)

- Moderate-quality evidence‡ from 1 or more well-designed, well-executed nonrandomized studies, observational studies, or registry studies
- Meta-analyses of such studies

LEVEL C-LD

(Limited Data)

- Randomized or nonrandomized observational or registry studies with limitations of design or execution
- Meta-analyses of such studies
- Physiological or mechanistic studies in human subjects

LEVEL C-EO

(Expert Opinion)

Consensus of expert opinion based on clinical experience

COR and LOE are determined independently (any COR may be paired with any LOE).

A recommendation with LOE C does not imply that the recommendation is weak. Many important clinical questions addressed in guidelines do not lend themselves to clinical trials. Although RCTs are unavailable, there may be a very clear clinical consensus that a particular test or therapy is useful or effective.

- * The outcome or result of the intervention should be specified (an improved clinical outcome or increased diagnostic accuracy or incremental prognostic information).
- † For comparative-effectiveness recommendations (COR I and IIa; LOE A and B only), studies that support the use of comparator verbs should involve direct comparisons of the treatments or strategies being evaluated.
- ‡ The method of assessing quality is evolving, including the application of standardized, widely used, and preferably validated evidence grading tools; and for systematic reviews, the incorporation of an Evidence Review Committee.

COR indicates Class of Recommendation; EO, expert opinion; LD, limited data; LOE, Level of Evidence; NR, nonrandomized; R, randomized; and RCT, randomized controlled trial.

be used to inform regulatory or payer decisions, the intent is to improve quality of care and align with patients' interests. The guidelines are reviewed annually by the Task Force and are official policy of the ACC and AHA. Each guideline is considered current unless and until it is updated, revised, or superseded by a published addendum.

Related Issues

For additional information pertaining to the methodology for grading evidence, assessment of benefit and harm,

shared decision making between the patient and clinician, structure of evidence tables and summaries, standardized terminology for articulating recommendations, organizational involvement, peer review, and policies regarding periodic assessment and updating of guideline documents, we encourage readers to consult the ACC/AHA guideline methodology manual.¹

Jonathan L. Halperin, MD, FACC, FAHA Chair, ACC/AHA Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines

INTRODUCTION

Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on June 27, 2020

The ACC, the AHA, and the Heart Failure Society of America (HFSA) recognize that the introduction of effective new therapies that potentially affect a large number of patients presents both opportunities and challenges. The introduction of an angiotensin receptor-neprilysin inhibitor (ARNI) (valsartan/sacubitril) and a sinoatrial node modulator (ivabradine), when applied judiciously, complements established pharmacological and devicebased therapies and represents a milestone in the evolution of care for patients with heart failure (HF). Accordingly, the writing committees of the "2016 ACC/AHA/ HFSA Focused Update on New Pharmacological Therapy for Heart Failure" and the "2016 ESC Guideline on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Acute and Chronic Heart Failure" concurrently developed recommendations for the incorporation of these therapies into clinical practice. Working independently, each writing committee surveyed the evidence, arrived at similar conclusions, and constructed similar, but not identical, recommendations. Given the concordance, the respective organizations simultaneously issued aligned recommendations on the use of these new treatments to minimize confusion and improve the care of patients with HF.

Members of the ACC/AHA/HFSA writing committee without relevant RWI voted on the final recommendations. These were subjected to external peer review by 25

official, organizational, and content reviewers before approval by the Task Force and the leadership of the ACC. AHA, and HFSA, as well as endorsement by the American College of Chest Physicians and the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. The statements issued by the European Society of Cardiology writing committee went through a similarly rigorous process of external review before endorsement by the societal leadership.

No single clinical trial answers all pertinent questions. nor can trial results be perfectly replicated in clinical practice. Several critical questions remain unanswered. and further experience in both ongoing trials and clinical therapeutics may require modification of these initial recommendations. On the basis of the currently available evidence, however, the recommendations that follow reflect our assessment of how best to proceed today.

7.3. STAGE C

7.3.2. Pharmacological Treatment for Stage C HF With Reduced Ejection Fraction: Recommendations

7.3.2.10. Renin-Angiotensin System Inhibition With Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitor or Angiotensin Receptor Blocker or ARNI: Recommendations See the Online Data Supplement for evidence supporting these recommendations.

COR	LOE	Recommendations
1	ACE: A ARB: A ARNI: B-R	The clinical strategy of inhibition of the renin-angiotensin system with ACE inhibitors (<i>Level of Evidence: A</i>), 9-14 OR ARBs (<i>Level of Evidence: A</i>), 15-18 OR ARNI (<i>Level of Evidence: B-R</i>) 19 in conjunction with evidence-based beta blockers, 20-22 and aldosterone antagonists in selected patients, 23,24 is recommended for patients with chronic HFrEF to reduce morbidity and mortality.
See Onli Supplem 18–20.	ine Data nents 1, 2,	Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors reduce morbidity and mortality in heart failure with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF). Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) clearly establish the benefits of ACE inhibition in patients with mild, moderate, or severe symptoms of HF and in patients with or without coronary artery disease. 9-14 ACE inhibitors can produce angioedema and should be given with caution to patients with low systemic blood pressures, renal insufficiency, or elevated serum potassium. ACE inhibitors also inhibit kininase and increase levels of bradykinin, which can induce cough but also may contribute to their beneficial effect through vasodilation. Angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) were developed with the rationale that angiotensin II production continues in the presence of ACE inhibition, driven through alternative enzyme pathways. ARBs do not inhibit kininase and are associated with a much lower incidence of cough and angioedema than ACE inhibitors; but like ACE inhibitors, ARBs should be given with caution to patients with low systemic blood pressure, renal insufficiency, or elevated serum potassium. Long-term therapy with ARBs produces hemodynamic, neurohormonal, and clinical effects consistent with those expected after interference with the renin-angiotensin system and have been shown in RCTs15-18 to reduce morbidity and mortality, especially in ACE inhibitor—intolerant patients. In ARNI, an ARB is combined with an inhibitor of neprilysin, an enzyme that degrades natriuretic peptides, bradykinin, adrenomedullin, and other vasoactive peptides. In an RCT that compared the first approved ARNI, valsartan/sacubitril, with enalapril in symptomatic patients with HFrEF tolerating an adequate dose of either ACE inhibitor or ARB, the ARNI reduced the composite endpoint of cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization significantly, by 20%. The benefit was seen to a similar extent for both death and HF hospitalization and was consistent across subgroups. The use of ARNI is associated with the ris
I	ACE: A	The use of ACE inhibitors is beneficial for patients with prior or current symptoms of chronic $HFrEF$ to reduce morbidity and mortality. $^{9-14,25}$

Recommendations for Renin-Angiotensin System Inhibition With ACE Inhibitor or ARB or ARNI

		for Renin-Angiotensin System Inhibition With ACE Inhibitor or ARB or ARNI (Continued)			
COR	LOE	Recommendations			
See Online Data Supplement 18.		ACE inhibitors have been shown in large RCTs to reduce morbidity and mortality in patients with HFrEF with mild, moderate or severe symptoms of HF, with or without coronary artery disease. ACE inhibitors should be started at low doses and titrated upward to doses shown to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events in clinical trials. ACE inhibitors can produce angioedem and should be given with caution to patients with low systemic blood pressures, renal insufficiency, or elevated serum potassium (>5.0 mEq/L). Angioedema occurs in <1% of patients who take an ACE inhibitor, but it occurs more frequently in blacks and women. Patients should not be given ACE inhibitors if they are pregnant or plan to become pregnant. ACE inhibitors also inhibit kininase and increase levels of bradykinin, which can induce cough in up to 20% of patients but also may contribute to beneficial vasodilation. If maximal doses are not tolerated, intermediate doses should be tried; abrupt withdrawal of ACE inhibition can lead to clinical deterioration and should be avoided. Although the use of an ARNI in lieu of an ACE inhibitor for HFrEF has been found to be superior, for those patients for whom ARNI is not appropriate, continued use of an ACE inhibitor for all classes of HFrEF remains strongly advised.			
1	ARB: A	The use of ARBs to reduce morbidity and mortality is recommended in patients with prior or current symptoms of chronic HF <i>r</i> EF who are intolerant to ACE inhibitors because of cough or angioedema. 15-18,27,28			
		ARBs have been shown to reduce mortality and HF hospitalizations in patients with HFrEF in large RCTs. ^{15–18} Long-term therapy with ARBs in patients with HFrEF produces hemodynamic, neurohormonal, and clinical effects consistent with those expected after interference with the renin-angiotensin system. ^{27,28} Unlike ACE inhibitors, ARBs do not inhibit kininas and are associated with a much lower incidence of cough and angioedema, although kininase inhibition by ACE inhibitors may produce beneficial vasodilatory effects.			
	in clinical trials. ARBs should be given with caution to patients with low systemic blood pressure, renal or elevated serum potassium (>5.0 mEq/L). Although ARBs are alternatives for patients with ACE inhib angioedema, caution is advised because some patients have also developed angioedema with ARBs.				
		Head-to-head comparisons of an ARB versus ARNI for HF do not exist. For those patients for whom an ACE inhibitor or ARNI is inappropriate, use of an ARB remains advised.			
1	ARNI: B-R	In patients with chronic symptomatic HFrEF NYHA class II or III who tolerate an ACE inhibitor or ARB, replacement by an ARNI is recommended to further reduce morbidity and mortality. ¹⁹			
See Onl Suppler and 18.		Benefits of ACE inhibitors with regard to decreasing HF progression, hospitalizations, and mortality rate have been shown consistently for patients across the clinical spectrum, from asymptomatic to severely symptomatic HF. Similar benefits have been shown for ARBs in populations with mild-to-moderate HF who are unable to tolerate ACE inhibitors. In patients with mild-to-moderate HF (characterized by either 1) mildly elevated natriuretic peptide levels, BNP [B-type natriuretic peptide] >150 pg/mL or NT-proBNP [N-terminal pro-B-type natriuretic peptide] ≥600 pg/mL; or 2) BNP ≥100 pg/mL or NT-proBNP ≥400 pg/mL with a prior hospitalization in the preceding 12 months) who were able to tolerate both a target dose of enalapril (10 mg twice daily) and then subsequently an ARNI (valsartan/sacubitril; 200 mg twice daily, with the ARB component equivalent to valsartan 160 mg), hospitalizations and mortality were significantly decreased with the valsartan/sacubitril compound compared with enalapril. The target dose of the ACE inhibitor was consistent with that known to improve outcomes in previous landmark clinical trials.¹¹ This ARNI has recently been approved for patients with symptomatic HFrEF and is intended to be substituted for ACE inhibitors or ARBs. HF effects and potential off-target effects may be complex with inhibition of the neprilysin enzyme, which has multiple biological targets. Use of an ARNI is associated with hypotension and a low-frequency incidence of angioedema. To facilitate initiation and titration, the approved ARNI is available in 3 doses that include a dose that was not tested in the HF trial; the target dose used in the trial was 97/103 mg twice daily.²⁰ Clinical experience will provide further information about the optimal titration and tolerability of ARNI, particularly with regard to blood pressure, adjustment of concomitant HF medications, and the rare complication of angioedema.³⁰			
l: Harm	B-R	ARNI should not be administered concomitantly with ACE inhibitors or within 36 hours of the last dose of a ACE inhibitor. 31,32			
See Onl Suppler	line Data nent 3.	Oral neprilysin inhibitors, used in combination with ACE inhibitors, can lead to angioedema and concomitant use is contraindicated and should be avoided. A medication that represented both a neprilysin inhibitor and an ACE inhibitor, omapatrilat, was studied in both hypertension and HF, but its development was terminated because of an unacceptable incidence of angioedema ^{31,32} and associated significant morbidity. This adverse effect was thought to occur because both ACE and neprilysin break down bradykinin, which directly or indirectly can cause angioedema. ^{32,33} An ARNI should not be administered within 36 hours of switching from or to an ACE inhibitor.			

 $({\it Continued})$

COR	LOE	Recommendations
III: Harm	C-EO	ARNI should not be administered to patients with a history of angioedema.
١	N/A	Omapatrilat, a neprilysin inhibitor (as well as an ACE inhibitor and aminopeptidase P inhibitor), was associated with a higher frequency of angioedema than that seen with enalapril in an RCT of patients with HFrEF. ³¹ In a very large RCT of hypertensive patients, ompatrilat was associated with a 3-fold increased risk of angioedema as compared with enalapril. ³² Blacks and smokers were particularly at risk. The high incidence of angioedema ultimately led to cessatio of the clinical development of omapatrilat. ^{34,35} In light of these observations, angioedema was an exclusion criterion in the first large trial assessing ARNI therapy in patients with hypertension ³⁶ and then in the large trial that demonstrated clinical benefit of ARNI therapy in HFrEF. ¹⁹ ARNI therapy should not be administered in patients with a history of angioedema because of the concern that it will increase the risk of a recurrence of angioedema.

7.3.2.11. Ivabradine: Recommendation

See the Online Data Supplement for evidence supporting this recommendation.

The remainder of the "2016 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused" Update on the Management of Heart Failure: An Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure" will be forthcoming.

Recomn	nendation	for Ivabradine
COR	L0E	Recommendation
lla	B-R	Ivabradine can be beneficial to reduce HF hospitalization for patients with symptomatic (NYHA class II-III) stable chronic HFrEF (LVEF \leq 35%) who are receiving GDEM, including a beta blocker at maximum tolerated dose, and who are in sinus rhythm with a heart rate of 70 bpm or greater at rest. $^{37-40}$
See Onli Supplem		Ivabradine is a new therapeutic agent that selectively inhibits the I_r current in the sinoatrial node, providing heart rate reduction. One RCT demonstrated the efficacy of ivabradine in reducing the composite endpoint of cardiovascular death or HF hospitalization. The benefit of ivabradine was driven by a reduction in HF hospitalization. The study included patients with HFrEF (New York Heart Association [NYHA] class II-IV, albeit with only a modest representation of NYHA class IV HF) and left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) \leq 35%, in sinus rhythm with a resting heart rate of \geq 70 bpm. Patients enrolled included a small number with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation ($<$ 40% of the time) but otherwise in sinus rhythm and a small number experiencing ventricular pacing but with a predominant sinus rhythm. Those with a myocardial infarction within the preceding 2 months were excluded. Patients enrolled had been hospitalized for HF in the preceding 12 months and were on stable GDEM for 4 weeks before initiation of ivabradine therapy. The target of ivabradine is heart rate slowing (the presumed benefit of action), but only 25% of patients studied were on optimal doses of beta-blocker therapy. $^{20-22,38}$ Given the well-proven mortality benefits of beta-blocker therapy, it is important to initiate and up titrate these agents to target doses, as tolerated, before assessing the resting heart rate for consideration of ivabradine initiation. 38

ACC/AHA TASK FORCE MEMBERS

Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on June 27, 2020

Jonathan L. Halperin, MD, FACC, FAHA, Chair Glenn N. Levine, MD, FACC, FAHA, Chair-Elect Sana M. Al-Khatib, MD, MHS, FACC, FAHA Kim K. Birtcher, PharmD, MS, AACC Biykem Bozkurt, MD, PhD, FACC, FAHA Ralph G. Brindis, MD, MPH, MACC Joaquin E. Cigarroa, MD, FACC Lesley H. Curtis, PhD, FAHA Lee A. Fleisher, MD, FACC, FAHA Federico Gentile, MD, FACC Samuel Gidding, MD, FAHA Mark A. Hlatky, MD, FACC John Ikonomidis, MD, PhD, FAHA José Joglar, MD, FACC, FAHA Susan J. Pressler, PhD, RN, FAHA Duminda N. Wijeysundera, MD, PhD

PRESIDENTS AND STAFF

American College of Cardiology

Richard A. Chazal, MD, FACC, President Shalom Jacobovitz, Chief Executive Officer William J. Oetgen, MD, MBA, FACC, Executive Vice President, Science, Education, Quality, and Publications Amelia Scholtz, PhD, Publications Manager, Science, Education, Quality, and Publishing

American College of Cardiology/American Heart **Association**

Melanie Stephens-Lyman, MSc, Director, Guideline Operations and Strategy

Lisa Bradfield, CAE, Director, Guideline Methodology and Policy

Abdul R. Abdullah, MD, Associate Science and Medicine Advisor

Morgane Cibotti-Sun, MPH, Project Manager, Clinical Practice Guidelines

American Heart Association

Mark A. Creager, MD, FACC, FAHA, President

Nancy Brown, Chief Executive Officer

Rose Marie Robertson, MD, FAHA, Chief Science and Medical Officer

Gayle R. Whitman, PhD, RN, FAHA, FAAN, Senior Vice President, Office of Science Operations

Comilla Sasson, MD, PhD, FACEP, Vice President, Science and Medicine

Jody Hundley, Production Manager, Scientific Publications, Office of Science Operations

FOOTNOTES

This document was approved by the American College of Cardiology Board of Trustees and Executive Committee, the American Heart Association Science Advisory and Coordinating Committee and Executive Committee, and the Heart Failure Society of America Executive Committee in April 2016.

The Comprehensive RWI Data Supplement table is available with this article at http://circ.ahajournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000435/-/DC1.

The Data Supplement is available with this article at http://circ.ahajournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1161/CIR.00000000000000435/-/DC2.

This article has been copublished in the Journal of the American College of Cardiology and the Journal of Cardiac Failure.

Copies: This document is available on the World Wide Web sites of the American College of Cardiology (www.acc.org), the American Heart Association (professional.heart.org), and the Heart Failure Society of America (www.hfsa.org). A copy of the document is available at http://professional.heart.org/statements by using either "Search for Guidelines & Statements" or the "Browse by Topic" area. To purchase additional reprints, call 843-216-2533 or e-mail kelle.ramsay@ wolterskluwer.com.

Expert peer review of AHA Scientific Statements is conducted by the AHA Office of Science Operations. For more on AHA statements and guidelines development, visit http://professional. heart.org/statements. Select the "Guidelines & Statements" drop-down menu, then click "Publication Development."

Permissions: Multiple copies, modification, alteration, enhancement, and/or distribution of this document are not permitted without the express permission of the American Heart Association. Instructions for obtaining permission are located at http://www.heart.org/HEARTORG/General/Copyright-Permission-Guidelines_UCM_300404_Article.jsp. A link to the "Copyright Permissions Request Form" appears on the right side of the page.

Circulation is available at http://circ.ahajournals.org.

REFERENCES

 ACCF/AHA Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Methodology Manual and Policies From the ACCF/AHA Task Force on Practice

- Guidelines. Available at: http://assets.cardiosource.com/Methodology_Manual_for_ACC_AHA_Writing_Committees.pdf and http://my.americanheart.org/idc/groups/ahamah-public/@wcm/@sop/documents/downloadable/ucm_319826.pdf. 2010; American College of Cardiology and American Heart Association. Accessed April 7, 2016.
- Committee on Standards for Developing Trustworthy Clinical Practice Guidelines, Institute of Medicine (US). Clinical Practice Guidelines We Can Trust. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2011.
- Committee on Standards for Systematic Reviews of Comparative Effectiveness Research, Institute of Medicine (US). Finding What Works in Health Care: Standards for Systematic Reviews. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2011.
- Jacobs AK, Kushner FG, Ettinger SM, et al. ACCF/AHA clinical practice guideline methodology summit report: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2013;127:268–310.
- Jacobs AK, Anderson JL, Halperin JL. The evolution and future of ACC/ AHA clinical practice guidelines: a 30-year journey: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2014;130:1208–17.
- Anderson JL, Heidenreich PA, Barnett PG, et al. ACC/AHA statement on cost/value methodology in clinical practice guidelines and performance measures: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures and Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2014;129:2329–45.
- Arnett DK, Goodman RA, Halperin JL, et al. AHA/ACC/HHS strategies to enhance application of clinical practice guidelines in patients with cardiovascular disease and comorbid conditions: from the American Heart Association, American College of Cardiology, and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Circulation. 2014;130:1662–7.
- Halperin JL, Levine GN, Al-Khatib SM, et al. Further evolution of the ACC/AHA clinical practice guideline recommendation classification system: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2016;133:1426–28.
- Effects of enalapril on mortality in severe congestive heart failure. Results of the Cooperative North Scandinavian Enalapril Survival Study (CONSENSUS). The CONSENSUS Trial Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1987;316:1429–35.
- Effect of enalapril on survival in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions and congestive heart failure. The SOLVD Investigators. N Engl J Med. 1991;325:293–302.
- Packer M, Poole-Wilson PA, Armstrong PW, et al. Comparative effects of low and high doses of the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, lisinopril, on morbidity and mortality in chronic heart failure. ATLAS Study Group. Circulation. 1999;100:2312–8.
- Pfeffer MA, Braunwald E, Moye LA, et al. Effect of captopril on mortality and morbidity in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. Results of the survival and ventricular enlargement trial. The SAVE Investigators. N Engl J Med. 1992;327:669–77.
- Effect of ramipril on mortality and morbidity of survivors of acute myocardial infarction with clinical evidence of heart failure. The Acute Infarction Ramipril Efficacy (AIRE) Study Investigators. Lancet. 1993;342:821–8.
- Køber L, Torp-Pedersen C, Carlsen JE, et al. A clinical trial of the angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor trandolapril in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. Trandolapril Cardiac Evaluation (TRACE) Study Group. N Engl J Med. 1995;333:1670–6.
- Cohn JN, Tognoni G, Valsartan Heart Failure Trial Investigators. A randomized trial of the angiotensin-receptor blocker valsartan in chronic heart failure. N Engl J Med. 2001;345:1667–75.

- 16. Pfeffer MA, McMurray JJV, Velazquez EJ, et al. Valsartan, captopril, or both in myocardial infarction complicated by heart failure, left ventricular dysfunction, or both. N Engl J Med. 2003;349: 1893-906.
- 17. Konstam MA, Neaton JD, Dickstein K, et al. Effects of high-dose versus low-dose losartan on clinical outcomes in patients with heart failure (HEAAL study): a randomised, double-blind trial. Lancet. 2009;374:1840-8.
- 18. Pfeffer MA, Swedberg K, Granger CB, et al. Effects of candesartan on mortality and morbidity in patients with chronic heart failure: the CHARM-Overall programme. Lancet. 2003;362:759-66.
- 19. McMurray JJV, Packer M, Desai AS, et al. Angiotensin-neprilysin inhibition versus enalapril in heart failure. N Engl J Med. 2014;371:993-1004.
- 20. Effect of metoprolol CR/XL in chronic heart failure: Metoprolol CR/XL Randomised Intervention Trial in Congestive Heart Failure (MERIT-HF). Lancet. 1999;353:2001-7.
- 21. Packer M, Fowler MB, Roecker EB, et al. Effect of carvedilol on the morbidity of patients with severe chronic heart failure: results of the carvedilol prospective randomized cumulative survival (CO-PERNICUS) study. Circulation. 2002;106:2194-9.
- 22. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Circulation. 2013;128:e240-327.
- 23. Eschalier R, McMurray JJV, Swedberg K, et al. Safety and efficacy of eplerenone in patients at high risk for hyperkalemia and/ or worsening renal function: analyses of the EMPHASIS-HF study subgroups (Eplerenone in Mild Patients Hospitalization And Survlval Study in Heart Failure). J Am Coll Cardiol. 2013;62:1585–93.
- 24. Pitt B, Zannad F, Remme WJ, et al. The effect of spironolactone on morbidity and mortality in patients with severe heart failure. Randomized Aldactone Evaluation Study Investigators. N Engl J Med. 1999;341:709-17.
- 25. Garg R, Yusuf S. Overview of randomized trials of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality and morbidity in patients with heart failure. Collaborative Group on ACE Inhibitor Trials. JAMA. 1995;273:1450-6.
- 26. Woodard-Grice AV, Lucisano AC, Byrd JB, et al. Sex-dependent and race-dependent association of XPNPEP2 C-2399A polymorphism with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor-associated angioedema. Pharmacogenet Genomics. 2010;20:532-6.
- 27. Yusuf S, Teo KK, Pogue J, et al. Telmisartan, ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events. ONTARGET Investigators. N Engl J Med. 2008;358:1547-59.

- 28. Yusuf S, Teo K, Anderson C, et al. Effects of the angiotensin-receptor blocker telmisartan on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients intolerant to angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors: a randomised controlled trial. Telmisartan Randomised AssessmeNt Study in ACE iNtolerant subjects with cardiovascular Disease (TRANSCEND) Investigators. Lancet. 2008;372:1174-83.
- 29. Entresto [package insert]. Hanover, NJ: Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; 2015.
- 30. Solomon SD, Zile M, Pieske B, et al. The angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibitor LCZ696 in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a phase 2 double-blind randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2012;380:1387-95.
- 31. Packer M, Califf RM, Konstam MA, et al. Comparison of omapatrilat and enalapril in patients with chronic heart failure: the Omapatrilat Versus Enalapril Randomized Trial of Utility in Reducing Events (OVERTURE). Circulation. 2002;106:920–6.
- 32. Kostis JB, Packer M, Black HR, et al. Omapatrilat and enalapril in patients with hypertension: the Omapatrilat Cardiovascular Treatment vs. Enalapril (OCTAVE) trial. Am J Hypertens. 2004;17:103–11.
- 33. Vardeny O, Miller R, Solomon SD. Combined neprilysin and reninangiotensin system inhibition for the treatment of heart failure. JACC Heart Fail. 2014;2:663-70.
- 34. Messerli FH, Nussberger J. Vasopeptidase inhibition and angiooedema. Lancet. 2000;356:608-9.
- 35. Braunwald E. The path to an angiotensin receptor antagonist-neprilysin inhibitor in the treatment of heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;65:1029-41.
- 36. Ruilope LM, Dukat A, Böhm M, et al. Blood-pressure reduction with LCZ696, a novel dual-acting inhibitor of the angiotensin II receptor and neprilysin: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, active comparator study. Lancet. 2010;375:1255-66.
- 37. Böhm M, Robertson M, Ford I, et al. Influence of cardiovascular and noncardiovascular co-morbidities on outcomes and treatment effect of heart rate reduction with ivabradine in stable heart failure (from the SHIFT trial), Am J Cardiol, 2015:116:1890-7.
- 38. Swedberg K, Komajda M, Böhm M, et al. Ivabradine and outcomes in chronic heart failure (SHIFT): a randomised placebo-controlled study. Lancet. 2010;376:875-85.
- 39. Fox K, Ford I, Steg PG, et al. Ivabradine in stable coronary artery disease without clinical heart failure. N Engl J Med. 2014:371:1091-9.
- 40. Fox K, Ford I, Steg PG, et al. Ivabradine for patients with stable coronary artery disease and left-ventricular systolic dysfunction (BEAUTIFUL): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet. 2008;372:807-16.

Appendix 1. Author Relationships With Industry and Other Entities (Relevant)—2016 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update on New Pharmacological Therapy for Heart Failure (December 2015)

Committee Member	Employment	Consultant	Speakers Bureau	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal	Personal Research	Institutional, Organizational, or Other Financial Benefit	Expert Witness	Voting Recusals By Section*
Clyde W. Yancy, Chair	Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Division of Cardiology— Professor of Medicine and Chief; Diversity and Inclusion—Vice Dean	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Mariell Jessup, Vice Chair	University of Pennsylvania— Professor of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Biykem Bozkurt	Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center—The Mary and Gordon Cain Chair and Professor of Medicine	None	None	None	Novartis	None	None	7.3.2.10 and 7.3.2.11.

(Continued)

CLINICAL STATEMENTS

Appendix 1. Continued

Committee Member	Employment	Consultant	Speakers Bureau	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal	Personal Research	Institutional, Organizational, or Other Financial Benefit	Expert Witness	Voting Recusals By Section
Javed Butler	Stony Brook University—Division Chief of Cardiology	Bayer† CardioCell† Medtronic Merck† Novartis† Relypsa† Takeda Trevena† Z Pharma Zensun	Novartis†	None	Amgen (DSMB)†	None	None	7.3.2.10 and 7.3.2.11.
Donald E. Casey, Jr	Thomas Jefferson College of Population Health—Adjunct Faculty; Alvarez & Marsal IPO4Health— Principal and Founder	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Monica M. Colvin	University of Michigan—Associate Professor of Medicine, Cardiology	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Mark H. Drazner	University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center—Professor, Internal Medicine	None	None	• Trevena†	None	DCRI/Otsuka UptoDate	None	None
Gerasimos S. Filippatos	National and Kapodistrian University of Athens; Attikon University Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Heart Failure Unit—Professor of Cardiology	None	None	None	Bayer† Bayer (DSMB) Novartis† Servier Pharmaceuticals† Vifor	None	None	7.3.2.10 and 7.3.2.11.
Gregg C. Fonarow	Ahmanson-UCLA Cardiomyopathy Center—Director; UCLA Division of Cardiology—Co-Chief	AmgenJanssen PharmaceuticalsNovartis†	None	None	• Novartis†	None	None	7.3.2.10 and 7.3.2.11.
Michael M. Givertz	Brigham and Women's Hospital— Professor of Medicine	Merck Novartis	None	None	None	None	None	7.3.2.10 and 7.3.2.11.
Steven M. Hollenberg	Cooper University Hospital—Director, Coronary Care Unit, Professor of Medicine	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
JoAnn Lindenfeld	Vanderbilt Heart and Vascular Institute—Director, Advanced Heart Failure and Transplant Section— Professor of Medicine	 Abbott Janssen Pharmaceuticals Novartis Relypsa† ResMed† 	None	None	AstraZeneca Novartis†	None	None	7.3.2.10 and 7.3.2.11.
Frederick A. Masoudi	University of Colorado, Denver— Associate Professor of Medicine, Division of Cardiology	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Patrick E. McBride	University of Wisconsin School of Medicine and Public Health— Professor of Medicine and Family Medicine; Associate Director, Preventive Cardiology	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Pamela N. Peterson	University of Colorado, Denver Health Medical Center—Associate Professor of Medicine, Division of Cardiology	None	None	None	None	None	None	None
Lynne W. Stevenson	Brigham and Women's Hospital Cardiovascular Division—Director, Cardiomyopathy and Heart Failure Program	None	None	None	Novartis— PARENT trial (PI) NHLBI— INTERMACS (Co-PI)	None	None	7.3.2.10 and 7.3.2.11.

Appendix 1. Continued

Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on June 27, 2020

Committee Member	Employment	Consultant	Speakers Bureau	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal	Personal Research	Institutional, Organizational, or Other Financial Benefit	Expert Witness	Voting Recusals By Section*
Cheryl Westlake	Azusa Pacific University—Professor and Associate Dean, International and Community Programs	None	None	None	None	None	None	None

This table represents the relationships of committee members with industry and other entities that were determined to be relevant to this document. These relationships were reviewed and updated in conjunction with all meetings and/or conference calls of the writing committee during the document development process. The table does not necessarily reflect relationships with industry at the time of publication. A person is deemed to have a significant interest in a business if the interest represents ownership of ≥5% of the voting stock or share of the business entity, or ownership of >\$5000 of the fair market value of the business entity, or if funds received by the person from the business entity exceed 5% of the person's gross income for the previous year. Relationships that exist with no financial benefit are also included for the purpose of transparency. Relationships in this table are modest

According to the ACC/AHA, a person has a relevant relationship IF: a) The relationship or interest relates to the same or similar subject matter, intellectual property or asset, topic, or issue addressed in the document; or b) the company/entity (with whom the relationship exists) makes a drug, drug class, or device addressed in the document, or makes a competing drug or device addressed in the document; or c) the person or a member of the person's household has a reasonable potential for financial, professional, or other personal gain or loss as a result of the issues/content addressed in the document.

*Writing committee members are required to recuse themselves from voting on sections to which their specific relationships with industry and other entities may apply. +Significant relationship.

ACC indicates American College of Cardiology; AHA, American Heart Association; DCRI, Duke Clinical Research Institute; DSMB, data safety monitoring board: HFSA. Heart Failure Society of America; INTERMACS, The Interagency Registry for Mechanically Assisted Circulatory Support; NHLBI, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; PARENT, pulmonary artery pressure reduction with Entresto; and VA, Veterans Affairs.

Appendix 2. Reviewer Relationships With Industry and Other Entities (Comprehensive)—2016 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update on New Pharmacological Therapy for Heart Failure (March 2016)

Reviewer	Representation	Employment	Consultant	Speakers Bureau	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal	Personal Research	Institutional, Organizational, or Other Financial Benefit	Expert Witness
Kim K. Birtcher	Official Reviewer— ACC/AHA Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines	University of Houston College of Pharmacy— Clinical Professor	Jones & Bartlett Learning	None	None	None	None	None
Akshay S. Desai	Official Reviewer—HFSA	Brigham and Women's Hospital—Director, Heart Failure Disease Management, Advanced Heart Disease Section, Cardiovascular Division; Harvard Medical School, Associate Professor of Medicine	Medscape Cardiology* Merck Novartis* Relypsa* St. Jude Medical*	None	None	None	Novartis* Thoratec	None
Anita Deswal	Official Reviewer—AHA	Michael E. DeBakey VA Medical Center— Associate Chief of Cardiology; Director, Heart Failure Program; Baylor College of Medicine— Professor of Medicine	None	None	None	• NIH*	AHA AHA (GWTG Steering Committee)† HFSA†	None
Dipti Itchhaporia	Official Reviewer— ACC Board of Trustees	Newport Coast Cardiology—Robert and Georgia Roth Endowed Chair for Excellence in Cardiac Care; Director of Disease Management	None	None	None	None	St. Jude Medical	None
lleana L. Piña	Official Reviewer—AHA	Montefiore Medical Center—Associate Chief for Academic Affairs, Cardiology	Relypsa	None	None	None	None	None
Geetha Raghuveer	Official Reviewer— ACC Board of Governors	University of Missouri-Kansas City School of Medicine— Professor of Pediatrics; Children's Mercy Hospital— Pediatric Cardiology	None	None	None	None	None	None

Appendix 2. Continued

Reviewer	Representation	Employment	Consultant	Speakers Bureau	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal	Personal Research	Institutional, Organizational, or Other Financial Benefit	Expert Witness
James E. Udelson	Official Reviewer—HFSA	Tufts Medical Center—Chief, Division of Cardiology	Lantheus Medical Imaging	None	None	Gilead (DSMB) GlaxoSmithKline (DSMB) NHLBI Otsuka	Abbott Laboratories (Eligibility Committee) AHA* Circulation/Circulation: Heart Failure† HFSA (Executive Council)† Pfizer/ GlaxoSmithKline (Clinical Events Committee) Sunshine Heart (Eligibility Committee)	None
Mary Norine Walsh	Official Reviewer— ACC Board of Trustees	St Vincent Heart Center of Indiana—Medical Director, Heart Failure and Cardiac Transplantation	None	None	None	None	Corvia Medical Otsuka PCORI Thoratec	None
David A. Baran	Organizational Reviewer—ISHLT	Newark Beth Israel Medical Center—Director of Heart Failure and Transplant Research	Maquet Otsuka*	Novartis	None	CareDx-IMAGE trial (Steering Committee)* NIH*	None	None
Kenneth Casey	Organizational Reviewer—CHEST	Wm. S. Middleton Memorial Veterans Hospital— Director, Sleep Medicine	None	None	None	None	• CHEST	None
M. Fuad Jan	Organizational Reviewer—CHEST	Aurora Advanced Healthcare—Cardiologist	None	None	None	None	None	None
Kenneth W. Lin	Organizational Reviewer—AAFP	Georgetown University School of Medicine— Clinician Educator Track, Associate Professor	None	None	None	None	None	None
Joaquin E. Cigarroa	Content Reviewer—ACC/ AHA Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines	Oregon Health & Science University—Clinical Professor of Medicine	None	None	None	None	ACC/AHA† AHA† ASA† Catheterization and Cardiovascular Intervention† NIH Portland Metro Area AHA (President)† SCAI Quality Interventional Council†	None
Lee A. Fleisher	Content Reviewer—ACC/ AHA Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines	University of Pennsylvania Health System—Robert Dunning Dripps Professor of Anesthesiology and Critical Care; Chair, Department of Anesthesiology & Critical Care	Blue Cross/ Blue Shield* NQF† Yale University	None	None	Johns Hopkins (DSMB)	Association of University Anesthesiologists† NIH	None
Samuel S. Gidding	Content Reviewer— ACC/AHA Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines	Nemours/Alfred I. duPont Hospital for Children— Chief, Division of Pediatric Cardiology	• FH Foundation† • International FH Foundation†	None	None	FH Foundation† NIH*	None	None
James L. Januzzi	Content Reviewer	Massachusetts General Hospital—Hutter Family Professor of Medicine in the Field of Cardiology	 Critical Diagnostics* Novartis* Phillips Roche Diagnostics* Sphingotec* 	None	None	Amgen (DSMB) Boeringer Ingelheim (DSMB)* Janssen Pharmaceuticals (DSMB) Prevencio*	None	None

Appendix 2. Continued

Reviewer	Representation	Employment	Consultant	Speakers Bureau	Ownership/ Partnership/ Principal	Personal Research	Institutional, Organizational, or Other Financial Benefit	Expert Witness
José A. Joglar	Content Reviewer—ACC/ AHA Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines	UT Southwestern Medical Center—Professor of Internal Medicine; Clinical Cardiac Electrophysiology— Program Director	None	None	None	None	None	None
Edward K. Kasper	Content Reviewer	Johns Hopkins Cardiology— E. Cowles Andrus Professor in Cardiology	None	None	None	None	None	None
Wayne C. Levy	Content Reviewer	University of Washington— Professor of Medicine	Abbott LaboratoriesBiotronikGE HealthcareHeartWarePharminIN	None	None	NIH Novartis* St. Jude Medical*	 Amgen* AHA HeartWare* Novartis* Resmed* Thoratec 	None
Judith E. Mitchell	Content Reviewer	SUNY Downstate Medical Center—Director/Heart Failure Center; SUNY Downstate College of Medicine—Associate Professor of Medicine	None	None	None	None	Association of Black Cardiologists†	None
Sean P. Pinney	Content Reviewer—ACC Heart Failure and Transplant Council	Mount Sinai School of Medicine—Associate Professor of Medicine, Cardiology	Acorda TherapeuticsThoratecCareDX	None	None	• Thoratec† • NIH†	None	None
Randall C. Starling	Content Reviewer—ACC Heart Failure and Transplant Council	Cleveland Clinic Department of Cardiovascular Medicine— Vice Chairman, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine; Section Head, Heart Failure & Cardiac Transplant	BioControlMedtronicNovartis	None	None	Medtronic NIH* Novartis† St. Jude Medical†	St. Jude Medical	None
W. H. Wilson Tang	Content Reviewer	Cleveland Clinic Foundation—Assistant Professor of Medicine	None	None	None	• NIH*	Alnylam Pharmaceuticals NIH NHLBI Roche Novartis Thoratec	None
Emily J. Tsai	Content Reviewer	Columbia University College of Physicians & Surgeons— Assistant Professor, Section of Cardiology	None	None	None	Bayer†Bristol-Myers Squib†NHLBI*	None	None
Duminda N. Wijeysundera	Content Reviewer—ACC/ AHA Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines	Li Ka Shing Knowledge Institute of St. Michael's Hospital—Scientist; University of Toronto— Assistant Professor, Department of Anesthesia and Institute of Health Policy Management and Evaluation	None	None	None	CIHR (DSMB)† CIHR* Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada* Ministry of Health & Long-term Care of Ontario* PCORI (DSMB)†	None	None

This table represents the relationships of reviewers with industry and other entities that were disclosed at the time of peer review, including those not deemed to be relevant to this document. The table does not necessarily reflect relationships with industry at the time of publication. A person is deemed to have a significant interest in a business if the interest represents ownership of ≥5% of the voting stock or share of the business entity, or ownership of ≥\$5000 of the fair market value of the business entity, or if funds received by the person from the business entity exceed 5% of the person's gross income for the previous year. A relationship is considered to be modest if it is less than significant under the preceding definition. Relationships that exist with no financial benefit are also included for the purpose of transparency. Relationships in this table are modest unless otherwise noted. Names are listed in alphabetical order within each category of review.

American College of Physicians did not provide a peer reviewer for this document.

Downloaded from http://ahajournals.org by on June 27, 2020

^{*}Significant relationship.

[†]No financial benefit.

AAFP indicates American Academy of Family Physicians; ACC, American College of Cardiology; AHA, American Heart Association; ASA, American Stroke Association; CHEST, American College of Chest Physicians; CIHR, Canadian Institutes of Health Research; DSMB, data safety monitoring board; FH, familial hypercholesterolemia; GWTG, Get With The Guidelines; HFSA, Heart Failure Society of America; IMAGE, Invasive Monitoring Attenuation through Gene Expression; ISHLT, International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation; NIH, National Institutes of Health; NHLBI, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute; NQF, National Quality Forum; PCORI, Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute; SCAI, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions; SUNY, State University of New York; UT, University of Texas; and VA, Veterans Affairs.